Case 2:20-cv-00739-JAD-BNW Document 43 Filed 01/28/22 Page 1 of 6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II. DISCOVERY PLAN:

- A. NUMBER OF DAYS REQUIRED FOR DISCOVERY:
 - 1. Plaintiff's view:

180 days

2. <u>Defendant's view:</u>

180 days

- B. WHAT CHANGES, IF ANY, SHOULD BE MADE IN TIMING, FORM OR REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCLOSURES UNDER 26(a):
 - 1. Plaintiff's view:

None.

2. Defendant's view:

None.

- C. WHEN INITIAL DISCLOSURES WERE MADE OR WILL BE MADE:
 - 1. Plaintiff's disclosures:

January 26, 2022 enter calendar date

2. Defendant's disclosures:

January 26, 2022 enter calendar date

- D. SUBJECTS ON WHICH DISCOVERY MAY BE NEEDED:
 - 1. Plaintiff's view:

Discovery will be needed on Plaintiff's claims, as well as Defendants' defenses.

2. Defendant's view:

Discovery will be needed on Plaintiff's claims, as well as Defendants' defenses.

- E. SHOULD DISCOVERY BE CONDUCTED IN PHASES OR LIMITED TO OR FOCUSED UPON PARTICULAR ISSUES?
 - 1. Plaintiff's view:

N/A

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7 8
	8
	9
	10
	11
2	11 12 13 14 15
200 200 (201)	13
	14
	15
	16
1	16 17 18
	18
	19 20
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

2

2.	Defendant's view:
	N/A

F. STATE ANY ISSUES ABOUT DISCLOSURE OR DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE FORM OR FORMS IN WHICH IT SHOULD BE PRODUCED.

1. Plaintiff's view:

None at this time.

2. Defendant's view:

None at this time.

G. STATE ANY ISSUES ABOUT CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE OR OF PROTECTION AS TRIAL-PREPARATION MATERIALS, INCLUDING—IF THE PARTIES AGREE ON A PROCEDURE TO ASSERT THESE CLAIMS AFTER PRODUCTION—WHETHER TO ASK THE COURT TO INCLUDE THEIR AGREEMENT IN AN ORDER.

1. Plaintiff's view:

None at this time.

2. <u>Defendant's view:</u>

None at this time.

H. WHAT CHANGES, IF ANY, SHOULD BE MADE IN LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY IMPOSED UNDER THESE RULES AND WHAT, IF ANY, OTHER LIMITATIONS SHOULD BE IMPOSED?

1. Plaintiff's view:

None at this time.

2. Defendant's view:

None at this time.

I. WHAT, IF ANY, OTHER ORDERS SHOULD BE ENTERED BY THE COURT UNDER RULE 26(c) OR RULE 16(b) AND (c)?

1. Plaintiff's view:

None at this time.

2. Defendant's view:

None at this time.

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

III.						
DISCOVERY	AND	MOTION	DATES:			

DATES AGREED BY THE PARTIES: A.

1.	Clos	e of discovery:	July 11, 2022
			enter calendar date
			(Discovery periods longer
			than 180 days from the date the first defendant answers or
			appears will require special
			scheduling review)
2.		date to file motions to amend	
		lings or add parties (without a	A 11.12 2022
	rurtn	er court order):	April 12, 2022 enter calendar date
			(Not later than 90 days before
			close of discovery)
3.	Final	dates for expert disclosures:	
	(a)	Initial disclosure:	May 12, 2022
			enter calendar date
			(Not later than 60 days before close of discovery)
			close of discovery)
	(b)	Rebuttal disclosures:	June 13, 2022
			enter calendar date
			(Not later than 30 days after initial disclosure of experts)
			ilitial disclosure of experts)
4.	Final	date to file dispositive motions:	
			enter calendar date
			(Not later than 30 days after discovery cut-off date)
			discovery cut-off date)
5.	Joint	Pretrial Order:	September 9, 2022
			enter calendar date
			(Not later than 30 days after
			date set for dispositive motions)

В. IF APPLICABLE, STATEMENT OF THE REASONS WHY LONGER OR DIFFERENT TIME PERIODS SHOULD APPLY TO THE CASE OR, IN CASES IN WHICH THE PARTIES DISAGREE AS TO THE FORM OR CONTENTS OF THE DISCOVERY PLAN, A STATEMENT OF EACH PARTY'S POSITION ON EACH POINT IN **DISPUTE:**

1. Plaintiff's view:

The Parties are requesting the standard 180 days for discovery, but that the starting date for discovery occur later than the date the first defendant Page 4 of 6 MAC:14687-304 4595778_1 1/26/2022 3:54 PM

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 16 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

answered, as stated in LR 26-1(b)(1), due to the fact Defendants initially filed a motion to dismiss, which was granted in part. Due to time which passed from the date of answering to the Court's decision on the Motion to Dismiss, starting the time for discovery on the date of the Rule 26(f) conference allows the Parties a meaningful time-period to complete necessary discovery.

2. Defendant's view:

The Parties are requesting the standard 180 days for discovery, but that the starting date for discovery occur later than the date the first defendant answered, as stated in LR 26-1(b)(1), due to the fact Defendants initially filed a motion to dismiss, which was granted in part. Due to time which passed from the date of answering to the Court's decision on the Motion to Dismiss, starting the time for discovery on the date of the Rule 26(f) conference allows the Parties a meaningful time-period to complete necessary discovery.

C. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:

The undersigned certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes.

D. **ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CASE DISPOSITION:**

The undersigned certify that they considered consent to trial by magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use of the Short Trial Program.

21 ///

20 1///

22 / / /

23 ///

111 25

26 | 1 / / /

27

1/// 28

Ε. **ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE:** 1 2 A jury trial has not been demanded. 3 A jury trial has been demanded and the undersigned certify that they discussed whether the parties intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purposes 4 5 of jury deliberations, and the following stipulations were reached regarding providing discovery in an electronic format compatible with the court's electronic jury evidence 6 display system: None at this time. 7 Dated this 26th day of January, 2022. Dated this 26th day of January, 2022. MARQUIS AURBACH 9 MARX LAW FIRM, PLLC 10 By: /s/ Nick D. Crosby, Esq. By: /s/ Bradley E. Marx, Esq. 11 Nick D. Crosby, Esq. Bradley M. Marx, Esq. 12 Nevada Bar No. 8996 Nevada Bar No. 12999 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 **MARQUIS AURBACH** 601 So Rancho Drive, Suite B-14 10001 Park Run Drive 13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Attorneys for LVMPD Defendants Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 **ORDER** IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 42 16 is GRANTED. The Court adopts 17 the dates proposed by the parties. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 5:28 pm, January 27, 2022 18 Bentoweken BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28